arXiv:1612.06906v1 [quant-ph] 20 Dec 2016

Periodic squeezing in a polariton Josephson junction

Albert F. Adiyatullin*, Mitchell D. Anderson, Hugo Flayac, Marcia T. Portella-Oberli, Fauzia Jabeen, Claudéric Ouellet-Plamondon, Gregory C. Sallen, and Benoit Deveaud
Institute of Physics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
(Dated: December 22, 2016)

Josephson physics can be drastically enriched by introducing a Kerr nonlinearity to a Josephson junction formed by two coupled macroscopic quantum states [1, 2]. In general, coupling of nonlinear quantum modes can give rise to nontrivial effects such as self-trapping [3, 4], the unconventional photon blockade [5, 6], or spin squeezing [7]. In this paper, we demonstrate the dynamical squeezing of two populations of exciton-polaritons undergoing Josephson oscillations revealed by performing ultrafast time-resolved second-order correlation measurements. These results benefit from the nature of Josephson oscillations, which allows us to span the squeezing parameters dynamically and over a wide range. Following recent predictions [8], we show that this peculiar phenomenon is the result of the interactions between two coupled coherent states with a weak nonlinearity. Capitalizing on hybrid light-matter properties of polaritons, our results demonstrate the potential to generate nonclassical light in solid state systems possessing a single particle nonlinearity like on-chip-silicon resonators [9] or microwave Josephson junctions [10].

A bosonic Josephson junction (JJ) consists of two quantum degenerate ensembles of bosons coupled via tunneling through a narrow barrier [1, 11]. The main manifestation of Josephson physics is the alternating particle exchange between two populations under a potential difference, which has been reported for superfluid helium [12, 13], atomic condensates [3, 14], microwave photons [10], and exciton-polaritons [4, 15]. The latter system emerges from the strong coupling between excitons and photons in a semiconductor microcavity [16, 17]. The combined light-matter nature of the polaritons allows them to be easily studied through standard optical means while also inheriting a sizable nonlinearity from their excitonic fraction. In addition, the ability to easily engineer confinement potentials like mesas [18] or micropillars [19] makes polaritons a very convenient system for studying spatially localized bosonic populations.

The presence of a nonlinearity in the polaritonic system is critical for the realization of the unconventional photon blockade [5, 6], a nonclassical effect that manifests in a suppression of the two photon emission from one of two coupled mesas due to quantum interferences. This concept has been extended to other nonlinear photonic systems [9, 20] and was shown to be a realization of the optimal squeezing of coherent states [21]. Even though squeezing has been reported for polaritons [22, 23], nonclassical statistics of light has not yet been observed in polaritonic systems driven by a classical source. The main obstacles for this are the large particle number in typical experiments and the picosecond timescale of the polariton dynamics, which is out of the reach of even the best available avalanche photodiodes. This limitation is overcome by using a streak-camera, which can act as an ultrafast single photon detector to perform dynamical correlation measurements [24–26]. Applying this technique, we observe remarkable ultrafast oscillations in the statistics of light emitted by the polaritonic JJ which alternate between Poissonian and super-Poissonian. With the help of a quantum model, we demonstrate that this is the result of periodic squeezing which arises due to a weak Kerr nonlinearity in the system.

The JJ consists of two spatially separated polariton modes, in their ground state, trapped in two artificially created mesas of the same size (Fig. 1a). A tunnel coupling of $J = 0.4$ meV between the two mesas results in a splitting of their ground state energies into two coupled states: bonding and antibonding (Fig. 1b). The system is excited with ≈ 1.0 ps laser pulses at an energy of $E = 1.463$ eV. The laser is focused into a 3 μm spot mostly onto one mesa, which allows us to obtain high contrast of Josephson oscillations. The light emitted by the mesas is collected in the transmission geometry with a microscope objective and sent to a beamsplitter, realizing a Hanbury Brown and Twiss setup, with a streak-camera that acts as a single photon detector for both arms [25, 26]. The images acquired by the streak-camera are processed using a photon counting procedure. Since the statistics of the polaritonic system are inherited by the emitted photons, the second-order time correlation function is defined in the standard way

$$g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\left\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_1)\hat{a}^\dagger(t_2)\hat{a}(t_2)\hat{a}(t_1)\right\rangle}{\left\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_1)\hat{a}(t_1)\right\rangle \left\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_2)\hat{a}(t_2)\right\rangle},$$

where $\hat{a}^\dagger(t)$ and $\hat{a}(t)$ are polariton creation and annihilation operators respectively. The time-dependent zero-delay second-order correlation function is defined as $g^{(2)}(0)(t) = g^{(2)}(t, t)$. Generally, $g^{(2)}(0)$ characterizes the statistics of light: it is Poissonian when $g^{(2)}(0) = 1$, super-Poissonian (bunched) when $g^{(2)}(0) > 1$, and quantum (antibunched) when $g^{(2)}(0) < 1$.



FIG. 1. **Polaritonic Josephson junction. a**, Schematic of the microcavity with two coupled mesas, one of which is predominantly illuminated, and the resulting Josephson oscillations of exciton-polaritons. **b**, Spectrum of polariton emission from two coupled mesas under nonresonant CW excitation. Dashed curve represents two coupled mesas and the surrounding microcavity. Coupling of mesas with $J = 0.4$ meV leads to formation of bonding and antibonding states. During the experiments, only these states are resonantly excited with pulsed laser (shown schematically). $\Delta = \omega_c - \omega_L$ is the laser detuning.

For the data presented in this paper, the statistics are accumulated for over 3.9 million laser pulses. The intensities of the emission from the left and right mesas $I_L(t)$ and $I_R(t)$ (Fig. 2a) and the population imbalance $z(t) = (I_L - I_R)/(I_L + I_R)$ (Fig. 2b) clearly confirm the presence of Josephson oscillations. The corresponding time-dependent second-order correlation function $g^{(2)}(0)(t)$ is shown with shaded areas in Fig. 2c. While the polaritonic populations in both mesas occupy their ground states and keep their coherence, the emission statistics clearly does not remain coherent. Indeed, $g^{(2)}(0)$ indicates that the light from each mesa changes its nature from Poissonian to bunched in phase with the Josephson oscillations. Moreover, the oscillations of $g^{(2)}(0)$ appear to be in counterphase between the two mesas, i.e. when the emission of the left mesa is bunched, the right mesa emits coherent light, and vice versa. Lastly, the magnitude of the bunching gets higher as the polariton population decreases.

We show that these features can be well explained by the quadrature squeezing in the system of two coupled states, which is sufficient to induce wide deviations to the statistics of a coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$. Indeed, a squeezed coherent state $|\xi, \alpha\rangle = \hat{S}|\alpha\rangle$, where $\hat{S} = \exp[\xi^* \hat{a}^2 - \xi \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}]$ is the squeezing operator of complex parameter ξ, can demonstrate both bunching or antibunching depending on the interrelation between the amplitudes and phases of $\alpha = \bar{\alpha} e^{i\varphi}$ and $\xi = re^{i\theta}$. The second-order correlation function of such state is given by [21]

$$g^{(2)}(0) = 1 + \frac{2\bar{\alpha}\left[n - s\cos\left(\theta - 2\varphi\right)\right] + s^2 + n^2}{\left(\bar{\alpha}^2 + n\right)^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $n = \sinh^2(r)$ and $s = \cosh(r)\sinh(r)$, and for any value of α one can optimize ξ to obtain antibunched emission. However, strong antibunching is restricted to small populations where $\bar{\alpha} \sim 1$. This means that, in the limit of large field, whatever the squeezing magnitude, the statistics will essentially remain classical. In such conditions, the regime with super-Poissonian statistics is easily accessible: particularly, $\bar{\alpha} \gg 1$ and $r \to +\infty$ sets an upper bound of $g^{(2)}(0) = 3$, which has been measured e.g. in Ref. [27].

We model our system as two coupled nonlinear cavities with resonance frequency ω_c. The Hamiltonian reads

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{j=L,R} \Big[\hbar\omega_c \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j + U \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_j + P_j(t)\hat{a}_j^\dagger + P_j^*(t)\hat{a}_j\Big] - J\left(\hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_R + \hat{a}_R^\dagger \hat{a}_L\right),$$

where U is the magnitude of the Kerr nonlinearity, and the $P_j(t)$ are the driving laser pulses in quasi-resonance with ground state modes. To allow for the large populations involved in our experiment, we expand the polariton operators as $\hat{a}_j = \alpha_j + \delta\hat{a}_j$, where $\alpha_j = \langle \hat{a}_j \rangle$ is the coherent mean field component and $\delta\hat{a}_j$ are the fluctuation (noise) operators [28] fulfilling $\langle \delta\hat{a}_j \rangle = 0$. The mean-field dynamics are governed by the Gross-Pitaevskii equation, whereas the fluctuation fields are evolved through the master equation accounting for the polariton dissipation to the environment (see Methods).

The numerical results are superimposed on the experimental data in Fig. 2c and show a remarkable agreement. At the same time, the measured population imbalance is well represented by the simulated one convolved with a Gaussian of FWHM = 3.4 ps, representing the experimental temporal resolution (Fig. 2b). An important



FIG. 2. **Dynamical photon bunching.** **a**, Measured intensity of the light emission from the left (blue) and right (red) mesa. The grey area indicates the region where signal-to-noise ratio is insufficient for confident correlation measurements. **b**, Population imbalance between two mesas (magenta points) clearly reveals the Josephson oscillations. Results of simulations (orange solid) match well the measured imbalance when convoluted with the Gaussian corresponding to the time resolution of the streak-camera being 3.4 ps (black dashed). Time resolution is given in the plot. **c**, Second-order correlation function $g^{(2)}(0)$ of the light emission from the left (blue shaded) and right (red shaded) mesa shows that the light statistics changes from Poissonian ($g^{(2)}(0) = 1$) to bunched ($g^{(2)}(0) > 1$) in phase with Josephson oscillations. Shaded areas represent the errorbars calculated as the standard errors of the mean values. The corresponding theoretical fits are shown with blue solid and red dashed lines. **d**, Evolution of the cosine term from equation (1) for the left (blue solid) and right (red dashed) mesas.

requirement for a strong bunching is the proper relationship between the phases of the coherent state and the squeezing that makes $\cos(\theta - 2\phi) < 0$ in equation (1). The dynamics of $\cos(\theta - 2\phi)$ are displayed in Fig. 2d and confirm that its negative values correspond to the super-Poissonian statistics of light.

The crucial prerequisite for manifestation of the squeezing is presence of a non-zero nonlinearity [8]. To underline this, we perform simulations with U set explicitly to zero (Fig. 3c-d). Even though the dynamics of Josephson oscillations can still be well described in this case, the light statistics show absolutely no deviation from Poissonian with $g^{(2)}(0) = 1$ all along the system evolution.



FIG. 3. **Role of nonlinearity.** **a**, Population imbalance and **b**, second-order correlation function for interparticle interactions $U = 1.4$ μeV. **c-d**, Same as **a-b**, but for $U = 0$. Other simulation parameters are given in Methods.

The most peculiar phenomenon that is expected to arise due to the weak Kerr nonlinearity is photon antibunching [5, 6]. Even though some degree of squeezing is present almost for any initial conditions, it has a lower limit [21] of $g^{(2)}(0) = 1 - 1/\alpha^2$, and the crucial ingredient for observing a strong antibunching is to have the number of polaritons in a mode of about 1. In this regime [8], $g^{(2)}(0)(t)$ is expected to oscillate between values below 1 and above 1. Unfortunately, these conditions remain inaccessible for our present experimental setup. Indeed, we estimate a polariton population in the range of a hundred at $t = 30$ ps, when the signal-to-noise ratio becomes insufficient to make any confident claims about the statistics of the emission.

Other features of the oscillating light statistics can be resolved when calculating the correlations between the photons arriving at different moments of time, $g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2)$ (Fig. 4a). The most salient feature of the plot are the local maxima of $g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2)$ correlation function that are arranged on a rectangular lattice. This remarkable feature arises from the periodic squeezing in the system, and is not simply a result of intensity oscillations. To prove this, we compare the $g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2)$ plot with the numerical simulations shown in Fig. 4b and observe that the latter perfectly mimics the arrangement of the maxima of $g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2)$ in a rectangular lattice, as well as the amplitude of these maxima that increases with time. This confirms that this effect originates from periodical squeezing. The difference in the amplitude and sharpness of these peaks results from the temporal resolution of our experiment.



FIG. 4. **Two-dimensional correlation function.** **a**, Measured $g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2)$ for the emission from the left mesa. **b**, Simulated $g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2)$. The arrangement of the regions where $g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2) > 1$ in a rectangular grid is well represented by the simulations and is a signature of periodical squeezing in the system.

We have demonstrated oscillating dynamics in the statistics of light emitted from an exciton-polariton Josephson junction. We show that this behavior represents an evolution of the squeezing parameters and is a manifestation of Gaussian squeezing in coupled resonators containing weak Kerr nonlinearities. All the characteristic features of the dynamically evolving light statistics can be perfectly described within this corresponding framework. In fact, the very mechanism of Gaussian squeezing also lies at the basis of antibunching in coupled nonlinear cavities and unconventional photon blockade that remains elusive so far. Our results open the way towards study of nonclassical phenomena in similar systems like on-chip-silicon microcavities [9] or intrinsic Josephson junctions [29], and even more complex layouts like arrays or coupled resonators [30].

Methods

Sample. The planar microresonator consists of λ-cavity made of GaAs with a single 10 nm $In_{0.06}Ga_{0.94}As$ quantum well at an antinode of the field and sandwiched between GaAs/AlAs Bragg mirrors containing 24 and 20 pairs, respectively. It features a Rabi splitting of 3.3 meV, exciton-photon detuning of -3 meV, and a polariton lifetime of $\tau = 5.2$ ps.

For the fabrication of the coupled mesas, first, a planar half-cavity with a bottom Bragg mirror, quantum well, spacer and an etchstop was grown. Next, the mesas were fabricated by wet etching of the etchstop on a depth of 6 nm. Finally, a top Bragg mirror was grown on the top of the processed structure. Due to spatial confinement, a single mesa features a set of discrete energy levels for polaritons. For this study we used two coupled mesas with diameter of 2 μm and center-to-center separation of 2.5 μm leading to a coupling constant of $J = 0.4$ meV.

Excitation scheme. The sample is excited with the circularly polarized laser pulses generated by a Ti:Sapphire mode-locked laser in resonance with the bonding and antibonding states of the coupled mesas. To avoid excitation of the higher energy states, a pulse shaper is used to reduce the spectral width of the laser to 0.7 meV. The pulses have energy of 500 pJ. The laser emission is focused with a 50x microscope objective into a 3 μm spot. During the experiment, the sample is held in a liquid He flow cryostat at a temperature of 5.1 K and is actively stabilized such that the excitation spot does not shift more that ≈500 nm over the course of the 34 hour experiment.

Detection scheme. The sample emission is collected in transmission geometry using a 50x 0.42 NA microscope objective. For measuring the second-order correlation function, the sample emission is sent to the beamsplitter representing the Hanbury Brown and Twiss setup. Next, light from two outputs of the beamsplitter is focused on the slit of the streak-camera in synchroscan mode acting as a single photon detector. This allows us first, to observe the photon correlations with a temporal resolution of 3.4 ps, and second, to get a real-space image of the emission. In order to isolate photons coming a single sample excitation event, a pulse picker and an acoustooptic modulator were used to let only one laser pulse excite the sample during the streak-camera acquisition frame.

Theoretical model. The mean fields obey the Gross-Pitaevskii equation:

$$i\hbar\dot{\alpha}_L = [\Delta_L - i\kappa/2 + U\,|\alpha_L|^2]\alpha_L - J\alpha_R + P_L(t) \quad (2)$$
$$i\hbar\dot{\alpha}_R = [\Delta_R - i\kappa/2 + U\,|\alpha_R|^2]\alpha_R - J\alpha_L + P_R(t) \quad (3)$$

where we work in the frame rotating with the laser frequency ω_L and $\Delta_{L,R} = \omega_c - \omega_L$ is the detuning. The modes are driven by Gaussian pulses defined as $P_{L,R}(t) = p_{L,R}\exp[-(t-t_0)^2/\sigma_t^2]$ and the relative values between p_L and p_R allows to mimic the position of the laser over the mesas.

The fluctuation fields are governed by the master equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\hat{\rho}_f}{\partial t} = \left[\hat{\mathcal{H}}_f, \hat{\rho}_f\right] - i\frac{\kappa}{2}\sum_{j=L,R}\hat{\mathcal{D}}\left[\delta\hat{a}_j\right]\hat{\rho}_f \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{D}}\left[\hat{o}\right]\hat{\rho} = \{\hat{o}^\dagger\hat{o}, \hat{\rho}\} - 2\hat{o}\hat{\rho}\hat{o}^\dagger$ are standard Lindblad dissipators accounting for losses to the environment where $\kappa = \hbar/\tau$. The corresponding Hamiltonian reads

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_f = \sum_{j=L,R} \left[\Delta_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j + U\left(\alpha_j^{2*}\hat{a}_j^2 + \alpha_j^2 \hat{a}_j^{\dagger 2}\right)\right] + \sum_{j=L,R} U\left[\hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_j + 2\alpha_j^* \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_j + 2\alpha_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j\right] - J\left(\hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_R + \hat{a}_R^\dagger \hat{a}_L\right)$$

where we have omitted the δ notation. Equations (2)-(4) are solved numerically in a Hilbert space truncated to a sufficient number of quanta to properly describe the weak fluctuation field. The expectation values are computed as $\langle \hat{o} \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}[(\hat{o} + \langle \hat{o} \rangle \mathbb{I})\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}}]$. The squeezing parameters $\xi_j = r_j \exp(i\theta_j)$ are extracted from

$$r_j(t) = \left[|\langle \Delta \hat{a}_j \rangle| + |\langle \hat{a}_j \rangle|^2 - \langle \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j \rangle\right]/2$$
$$\theta_j(t) = \arg \langle \Delta \hat{a}_j \rangle ,$$

where $\Delta \hat{a}_j = \langle \hat{a}_j^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{a}_j \rangle^2$. The arguments of the coherent states are $\phi_j = \arg \langle \hat{a}_j \rangle$.

The two-time second-order correlations are obtained by summing all possible second-order truncations of the fourth-order correlations. While the third- and fourth-order correlations contribution could be added, it shows a sufficient accuracy for the large occupations we consider here. In that framework we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_j^{(2)}(t_1,t_2) &= \frac{G_j^{(2)}(t_1,t_2)}{[N(t_1)+n_j(t_1)][N(t_2)+n_j(t_2)]} \\ G_j^{(2)}(t_1,t_2) &= \langle \hat{a}_j^\dagger(t_1)\hat{a}_j^\dagger(t_2)\hat{a}_j(t_2)\hat{a}_j(t_1)\rangle \\ &= 2\,\mathrm{Re}[\alpha_j(t_2)\alpha_j(t_1)\langle \hat{a}_j^\dagger(t_1)\hat{a}_j^\dagger(t_2)\rangle] \\ &+ 2\,\mathrm{Re}[\alpha_j^*(t_2)\alpha_j(t_1)\langle \hat{a}_j^\dagger(t_1)\hat{a}_j(t_2)\rangle] \\ &+ |\langle \hat{a}_j^\dagger(t_1)\hat{a}_j^\dagger(t_2)\rangle|^2 + |\langle \hat{a}_j^\dagger(t_1)\hat{a}_j(t_2)\rangle|^2 \\ &+ N_j(t_2)n_j(t_1) + N_j(t_1)n_j(t_2) \\ &+ N_j(t_1)N_j(t_2) + n_j(t_1)n_j(t_2) , \end{aligned}$$

where $n_j(t)$ and $N_j(t)$ are the fluctuations and mean field occupations respectively. The second-order two-times correlations are computed by means of the quantum regression theorem $\langle \hat{o}_1(t_1)\hat{o}_2(t_2)\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}[\hat{o}_1 \hat{U}(t_1,t_2)\hat{o}_2\hat{\rho}(t_1)]$, where $\hat{U}(t_1,t_2)$ is the evolution operator from t_1 to t_2.

Simulation parameters. In the calculations, we use the following values: $U = 1.4$ μeV, $J = 0.4$ meV, $\hbar\Delta_{L,R} = -0.6$ meV, $\kappa = \hbar/\tau = 125$ μeV, $p_L = 50\kappa$ resulting in an initial blueshift $\mu = UN_0 \simeq 0.7$ meV, where N_0 is the initial population and $z(0) = (p_L - p_R)/(p_L + p_R) = -0.66$. These parameters allow us to mimic the period of oscillation, initial blueshift, laser detuning and polariton lifetime observed in the experiment.

[1] A. Smerzi, S. Fantoni, S. Giovanazzi, and S. R. Shenoy. Quantum coherent atomic tunneling between two trapped Bose-Einstein condensates. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 79:4950–4953, Dec 1997.

[2] S. Raghavan, A. Smerzi, S. Fantoni, and S. R. Shenoy. Coherent oscillations between two weakly coupled Bose-Einstein condensates: Josephson effects, π oscillations, and macroscopic quantum self-trapping. *Phys. Rev. A*, 59:620–633, Jan 1999.

[3] Michael Albiez, Rudolf Gati, Jonas Fölling, Stefan Hunsmann, Matteo Cristiani, and Markus K. Oberthaler. Direct observation of tunneling and nonlinear self-trapping in a single bosonic Josephson junction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 95:010402, Jun 2005.

[4] M Abbarchi, A Amo, VG Sala, DD Solnyshkov, H Flayac, L Ferrier, I Sagnes, E Galopin, A Lemaître, G Malpuech, et al. Macroscopic quantum self-trapping and Josephson oscillations of exciton polaritons. *Nature Phys.*, 9(5):275–279, 2013.

[5] TCH Liew and V Savona. Single photons from coupled quantum modes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 104(18):183601, 2010.

[6] Motoaki Bamba, Atac Imamoğlu, Iacopo Carusotto, and Cristiano Ciuti. Origin of strong photon antibunching in weakly nonlinear photonic molecules. *Phys. Rev. A*, 83(2):021802, 2011.

[7] B. Juliá-Díaz, T. Zibold, M. K. Oberthaler, M. Melé-Messeguer, J. Martorell, and A. Polls. Dynamic generation of spin-squeezed states in bosonic Josephson junctions. *Phys. Rev. A*, 86:023615, Aug 2012.

[8] H. Flayac and V. Savona. Towards Nonclassical Dynamics in Semiconductor Microcavities. *arXiv:1609.00028 [cond-mat, physics:quant-ph]*, August 2016. arXiv: 160900028.

[9] Hugo Flayac, Dario Gerace, and Vincenzo Savona. An all-silicon single-photon source by unconventional photon blockade. *Sci. Rep.*, 5, 2015.

[10] J. Raftery, D. Sadri, S. Schmidt, H. E. Türeci, and A. A. Houck. Observation of a dissipation-induced classical to quantum transition. *Phys. Rev. X*, 4:031043, Sep 2014.

[11] R Gati and M K Oberthaler. A bosonic Josephson junction. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 40(10):R61, 2007.

[12] SV Pereverzev, A Loshak, S Backhaus, JC Davis, and RE Packard. Quantum oscillations between two weakly coupled reservoirs of superfluid ^{3}He. *Nature*, 388(6641):449–451, 1997.

[13] Kalyani Sukhatme, Yury Mukharsky, Talso Chui, and David Pearson. Observation of the ideal Josephson effect in superfluid ^{4}He. *Nature*, 411(6835):280–283, 2001.

[14] S Levy, E Lahoud, I Shomroni, and J Steinhauer. The ac and dc Josephson effects in a Bose–Einstein condensate. *Nature*, 449(7162):579–583, 2007.

[15] K. G. Lagoudakis, B. Pietka, M. Wouters, R. André, and B. Deveaud-Plédran. Coherent oscillations in an exciton-polariton Josephson junction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105:120403, Sep 2010.

[16] Claude Weisbuch, Mr Nishioka, A Ishikawa, and Y Arakawa. Observation of the coupled exciton-photon mode splitting in a semiconductor quantum microcavity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 69(23):3314, 1992.

[17] Jacek Kasprzak, M Richard, S Kundermann, A Baas, P Jeambrun, JMJ Keeling, FM Marchetti, MH Szymańska, R Andre, JL Staehli, et al. Bose-Einstein condensation of exciton polaritons. *Nature*, 443(7110):409–414, 2006.

[18] Ounsi El Daïf, Augustin Baas, Thierry Guillet, J-P Brantut, R Idrissi Kaitouni, Jean-Louis Staehli, Francois Morier-Genoud, and Benoit Deveaud. Polariton quantum

boxes in semiconductor microcavities. *Applied Physics Letters*, 88(6):061105, 2006.

[19] Daniele Bajoni, Pascale Senellart, Esther Wertz, Isabelle Sagnes, Audrey Miard, Aristide Lemaître, and Jacqueline Bloch. Polariton laser using single micropillar GaAs- GaAlAs semiconductor cavities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 100(4):047401, 2008.

[20] S Ferretti, V Savona, and D Gerace. Optimal antibunching in passive photonic devices based on coupled nonlinear resonators. *New Journal of Physics*, 15(2):025012, 2013.

[21] Marc-Antoine Lemonde, Nicolas Didier, and Aashish A Clerk. Antibunching and unconventional photon blockade with Gaussian squeezed states. *Phys. Rev. A*, 90(6):063824, 2014.

[22] J. Ph. Karr, A. Baas, R. Houdré, and E. Giacobino. Squeezing in semiconductor microcavities in the strong-coupling regime. *Phys. Rev. A*, 69:031802, Mar 2004.

[23] T Boulier, M Bamba, A Amo, C Adrados, A Lemaitre, E Galopin, I Sagnes, J Bloch, C Ciuti, E Giacobino, et al. Polariton-generated intensity squeezing in semiconductor micropillars. *Nat. Comm.*, 5, 2014.

[24] J Wiersig, C Gies, F Jahnke, M Aßmann, T Berstermann, M Bayer, C Kistner, S Reitzenstein, Ch Schneider, S Höfling, et al. Direct observation of correlations between individual photon emission events of a microcavity laser. *Nature*, 460(7252):245–249, 2009.

[25] Johannes Schmutzler, Tomasz Kazimierczuk, Ömer Bayraktar, Marc Aßmann, Manfred Bayer, Sebastian Brodbeck, Martin Kamp, Christian Schneider, and Sven Höfling. Influence of interactions with noncondensed particles on the coherence of a one-dimensional polariton condensate. *Phys. Rev. B*, 89:115119, Mar 2014.

[26] Albert F Adiyatullin, Mitchell D Anderson, Pierre V Busi, Hadis Abbaspour, Régis André, Marcia T Portella-Oberli, and Benoit Deveaud. Temporally resolved second-order photon correlations of exciton-polariton Bose-Einstein condensate formation. *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 107(22):221107, 2015.

[27] Nicolai B. Grosse, Thomas Symul, Magdalena Stobińska, Timothy C. Ralph, and Ping Koy Lam. Measuring Photon Antibunching from Continuous Variable Sideband Squeezing. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 98(15):153603, April 2007.

[28] H. Flayac and V. Savona. Single photons from dissipation in coupled cavities. *Phys. Rev. A*, 94:013815, Jul 2016.

[29] S. S. Gavrilov, A. S. Brichkin, S. I. Novikov, S. Höfling, C. Schneider, M. Kamp, A. Forchel, and V. D. Kulakovskii. Nonlinear route to intrinsic Josephson oscillations in spinor cavity-polariton condensates. *Phys. Rev. B*, 90:235309, Dec 2014.

[30] I. Carusotto, D. Gerace, H. E. Tureci, S. De Liberato, C. Ciuti, and A. Imamoğlu. Fermionized photons in an array of driven dissipative nonlinear cavities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 103:033601, Jul 2009.

Acknowledgments. We thank Vincenzo Savona for fruitful discussions. The present work is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation under Project No. 153620 and the European Research Council under project Polaritonics Contract No. 291120.

Author contributions. A.A. and M.A. carried out the experiment and processed the data. H.F. developed the theoretical model and performed the simulations. F.J. fabricated the sample, A.A., C.O.-P. and G.S. contributed to the sample processing. A.A., M.A. and H.F. prepared the manuscript. M.P.-O. and B.D. supervised the project. All authors contributed to discussions and revised the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

Data availability. The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Correspondence. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.A. (email: albert.adiyatullin@epfl.ch).